arXiv:1201.5068v3 [hep-th] 21 Feb 2012

Weyl-Invariant Higher Curvature Gravity Theories in n Dimensions

M. Reza Tanhayi,[1, 2, *] Suat Dengiz,[1, †] and Bayram Tekin[1, ‡]
[1]*Department of Physics,*
Middle East Technical University, 06531, Ankara, Turkey
[2]*Department of Physics,*
Islamic Azad University Central Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran
(Dated: February 22, 2012)

We study the particle spectrum and the unitarity of the generic n-dimensional Weyl-invariant quadratic curvature gravity theories around their (anti-)de Sitter [(A)dS] and flat vacua. Weyl symmetry is spontaneously broken in (A)dS and radiatively broken at the loop level in flat space. Save the three dimensional theory (which is the Weyl-invariant extension of the new massive gravity), the graviton remains massless and the unitarity requires that the only viable Weyl-invariant quadratic theory is the Weyl-invariant extension of the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory. The Weyl gauge field on the other hand becomes massive. Symmetry breaking scale fixes all the dimensionful parameters in the theory.

I. INTRODUCTION

General Relativity (GR) is expected to be modified (or perhaps replaced by a new theory) at both large and small scales. For large distances one can keep the theory intact with the high price of introducing a huge amount of dark matter and dark energy to explain the flattening of the galaxy rotation curves and the accelerated expansion of the universe. In the UV regime, even though there is no experimental necessity to modify GR, from the perturbative quantum field theory perspective, it is a non-renormalizable theory with no predictive power, hence it must be replaced by a UV-complete theory.

There are many proposals to modify GR at both of the regimes, here we study the most general Weyl-invariant extension of GR augmented with the Weyl-invariant quadratic curvature terms built from the contractions of the Riemann tensor. Thus we both extend the diffeomorphism symmetry of GR to the local scale invariance and add quadratic terms which give better UV behavior. [Whether the theory really makes sense at the quantum level is an open issue and there is not much work in the literature on the quantization of Weyl-invariant gravity theories, see [1], and the earlier references therein, for discussions of the one-loop beta functions of the Weyl-tensor square gravity and see [2] for a similar computation in the conformally coupled scalar-tensor theory.] Local scale invariance demands that the action has no dimensionful parameters, therefore Newton's constant and any other dimensionful parameter, such as the mass of graviton, the cosmological constant appears only after the scale symmetry is broken (either by hand or spontaneously or radiatively). [See [3] for a recent extension of the Standard Model with local conformal symmetry.] For large distance modifications of GR, as an alternative to the dark energy (or cosmological constant), one could give a tiny mass to the graviton. But in generic n dimensions, there does not seem to be a consistent way to give a non-linear mass to the graviton within the frame of a single-metric theory. Ideally, one would like to find a Lagrangian which includes gravity and a Higgs type scalar field whose vacuum breaks the symmetry (not specified yet) and as a result graviton becomes massive. If one considers the rather special case of the $n = 2 + 1$ dimensions, such a theory exists: The

---

[*] Electronic address: m_tanhayi@iauctb.ac.ir
[†] Electronic address: suat.dengiz@metu.edu.tr
[‡] Electronic address: btekin@metu.edu.tr

relevant symmetry is the Weyl symmetry [hence one not only has a scalar field but also a non-compact Abelian gauge field and a dynamical metric] and the action is given by the Weyl-invariant extension [4, 5] of the new massive gravity (NMG) [6, 7]. NMG, currently, is the only known parity invariant, non-linear extension of the Fierz-Pauli massive gravity. This attractive feature of the model led to a recent activity in the $2+1$ dimensional gravity theories [6–24]. Weyl-invariant extension of NMG [4, 5] goes one step further and provides (in AdS not dS) an example of the mass generation for graviton via the symmetry breaking mechanism in perfect analogy with the Higgs mechanism in the Standard Model. For flat backgrounds, the symmetry (Weyl symmetry) is broken at the two-loop level [25] through the Coleman-Weinberg mechanism [26] and the graviton again gets a mass. All the dimensionful scales in this theory are fixed by the symmetry breaking scale.

In this paper we study the most general Weyl-invariant quadratic gravity theory in n dimensions with respect to its unitarity and stability and explore the perturbative spectrum about the (A)dS and flat backgrounds. Unitarity of the theory, that is the ghost and tachyon freedom highly restricts the spectrum and rules out a massive graviton for $n>3$, therefore no viable extension of the Weyl-invariant NMG seems to exist beyond $2+1$ dimensions. In fact, as we shall see, the only unitary quadratic theory in generic n dimensions is the Weyl-invariant extension of the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory.

The layout of the paper is as follows: In Section II, we briefly review the construction of the Weyl-invariant quadratic actions which was given in [4]. In Section III, we find the maximally symmetric vacua of the theory and expand the action around the vacua up to quadratic order in the fluctuations of the fields. Section IV contains the discussion of the decoupling of the fields and in Section V, we find the masses of the free fields and explore the unitarity regions. Some computations which we used in finding the second order expansion of the action are summarized in the Appendix.

II. WEYL-INVARIANT QUADRATIC THEORY

Generic n-dimensional Weyl-invariant quadratic theory was constructed in [4] which we briefly recapitulate here. [See also [27, 28] and see [29] for conformally invariant higher derivative scalar-tensor theories where the scalar field is coupled to the Euler densities without the Weyl gauge field.] The literature on the Weyl gauging (which was in fact the first example of upgrading a global symmetry to a local one) of the metric is vast, for a quick review see [30, 31]. To grasp the bare essentials of the Weyl gauging idea, consider the kinetic part of the scalar field action (with the mostly plus signature):

$$S_\Phi = -\frac{1}{2}\int d^n x \sqrt{-g}\, g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi. \tag{1}$$

For $n>2$ dimensions, this action can be made locally scale-invariant in a generally covariant way as follows [1]

$$g_{\mu\nu}\rightarrow g'_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\zeta(x)}g_{\mu\nu}, \qquad \Phi\rightarrow\Phi' = e^{-\frac{(n-2)}{2}\zeta(x)}\Phi, \tag{2}$$

where $\zeta(x)$ is a real function and the partial derivative should be replaced by the gauge covariant derivative as

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu\Phi = \partial_\mu\Phi - \frac{n-2}{2}A_\mu\Phi, \tag{3}$$

---

[1] $n=2$ case can also be handled similarly, but in this paper, we do not consider this rather unique case.

with A_μ being the non-compact Abelian gauge field transforming as

$$A_\mu \to A_\mu^{'} = A_\mu - \partial_\mu \zeta(x). \tag{4}$$

Since we shall introduce dynamical gravity, we also need to know how the gauge covariant derivative acts on the metric. By simple inspection, one finds

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} + 2A_\mu g_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{5}$$

By construction, these definitions yield

$$\left(\mathcal{D}_\mu g_{\alpha\beta}\right)' = e^{2\zeta(x)} \mathcal{D}_\mu g_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad \left(\mathcal{D}_\mu \Phi\right)' = e^{-\frac{(n-2)}{2}\zeta(x)} \mathcal{D}_\mu \Phi, \tag{6}$$

where (2) and (4) were employed. We also need to write a kinetic term for the gauge field which comes with a compensating scalar

$$S_{A^\mu} = \varepsilon \int d^n x \sqrt{-g}\; \Phi^{\frac{2(n-4)}{n-2}} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}, \tag{7}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the usual gauge invariant field strength, and a dimensionless parameter ε is introduced (unitarity requirement will later restrict it). Note also that for $n = 4$, the compensating factor drops out since the Maxwell action is already conformally invariant. Weyl invariance in the gravity part follows with the help of a Weyl-invariant "Christoffel connection" defined as

$$\widehat{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\sigma} \Big(\mathcal{D}_\mu g_{\sigma\nu} + \mathcal{D}_\nu g_{\mu\sigma} - \mathcal{D}_\sigma g_{\mu\nu} \Big). \tag{8}$$

From this connection a Weyl-invariant Riemann tensor can be defined as

$$\widehat{R}^\mu{}_{\nu\rho\sigma}[g,A] = \partial_\rho \widehat{\Gamma}^\mu_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\sigma \widehat{\Gamma}^\mu_{\nu\rho} + \widehat{\Gamma}^\mu_{\lambda\rho} \widehat{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\nu\sigma} - \widehat{\Gamma}^\mu_{\lambda\sigma} \widehat{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\nu\rho}, \tag{9}$$

which, after making use of (8) becomes

$$\widehat{R}^\mu{}_{\nu\rho\sigma}[g,A] = R^\mu{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} + \delta^\mu{}_\nu F_{\rho\sigma} + 2\delta^\mu_{[\sigma} \nabla_{\rho]} A_\nu + 2g_{\nu[\rho} \nabla_{\sigma]} A^\mu + 2A_{[\sigma} \delta^\mu_{\rho]} A_\nu + 2g_{\nu[\sigma} A_{\rho]} A^\mu + 2g_{\nu[\rho} \delta^\mu_{\sigma]} A^2, \tag{10}$$

where we have used the notation $2A_{[\rho}B_{\sigma]} \equiv A_\rho B_\sigma - A_\sigma B_\rho$. Here ∇_μ is the usual covariant derivative compatible with the Riemannian metric $g_{\mu\nu}$: For example one has $\nabla_\mu A_\nu = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\nu} A_\sigma$. Note that (10) does not have the original symmetries of the Riemann tensor but this is not needed, all we want is to find a short way to construct Weyl-invariant actions for generic higher curvature gravity theories. For this purpose (10) can be used. After contraction, Weyl-invariant Ricci tensor can be found as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{R}_{\nu\sigma}[g,A] &= \widehat{R}^\mu{}_{\nu\mu\sigma}[g,A] \\ &= R_{\nu\sigma} + F_{\nu\sigma} - (n-2)\Big[\nabla_\sigma A_\nu - A_\nu A_\sigma + A^2 g_{\nu\sigma}\Big] - g_{\nu\sigma} \nabla \cdot A, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $\nabla \cdot A \equiv \nabla_\mu A^\mu$. One more contraction gives the scalar curvature

$$\widehat{R}[g,A] = R - 2(n-1)\nabla \cdot A - (n-1)(n-2)A^2, \tag{12}$$

which transforms like the inverse metric as $\big(\widehat{R}[g,A]\big)' = e^{-2\zeta(x)} \widehat{R}[g,A]$. Weyl invariance allows a potential term for the scalar field yielding the action

$$S_\Phi = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^n x \sqrt{-g} \Big(\mathcal{D}_\mu \Phi \mathcal{D}^\mu \Phi + \nu\, \Phi^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \Big), \tag{13}$$

where $\nu \geq 0$ is a dimensionless coupling constant. Positivity of ν is required for the existence of a ground state, further constraints can come from the requirement of unitarity or the existence of a maximally symmetric vacuum, as we shall discuss later. Collecting all the pieces together, the generic Weyl-invariant quadratic action is given as [4]

$$S_{WI} = \int d^n x \sqrt{-g}\left\{\sigma \Phi^2 \widehat{R} + \Phi^{\frac{2(n-4)}{n-2}}\left[\alpha \widehat{R}^2 + \beta \widehat{R}^2_{\mu\nu} + \gamma \widehat{R}^2_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\right]\right\} + S_\Phi + S_{A^\mu}, \tag{14}$$

where S_{A^μ} and S_Φ are the Weyl-invariant actions for gauge field and the scalar parts given by (7) and (13). The action (14) is invariant under the transformations (2) and (4). Note that Weyl invariance alone leaves 7 free dimensionless parameters, one of which can be eliminated by scaling the total action. Here we have done the scaling in such a way that the kinetic part of the scalar field action comes with the canonical 1/2 factor.

Apparent simplicity of (14) is somewhat deceptive since the explicit forms of the curvature terms yield a highly complicated action in terms of the fields $[g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu, \Phi]$. The explicit form of the scalar curvature square follows from (12)

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{R}^2 =& R^2 - 4(n-1)R(\nabla \cdot A) - 2(n-1)(n-2)RA^2 \\ &+ 4(n-1)^2(\nabla \cdot A)^2 + 4(n-1)^2(n-2)A^2(\nabla \cdot A) \\ &+ (n-1)^2(n-2)^2 A^4,\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where $\nabla . A = \nabla_\mu A^\mu$, $A^2 = A_\mu A^\mu$ and $A^4 = A_\mu A^\mu A_\nu A^\nu$. The square of the Ricci tensor follows from (11)

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{R}^2_{\mu\nu} = & R^2_{\mu\nu} - 2(n-2)R_{\mu\nu}\nabla^\nu A^\mu - 2R(\nabla \cdot A) + 2(n-2)R_{\mu\nu}A^\mu A^\nu - 2(n-2)RA^2 \\ & - 2(n-2)F^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\nu A_\mu + F^2_{\mu\nu} + (n-2)^2(\nabla_\nu A_\mu)^2 + (3n-4)(\nabla \cdot A)^2 - 2(n-2)^2 A_\mu A_\nu \nabla^\mu A^\nu \\ & + (4n-6)(n-2)A^2(\nabla \cdot A) + (n-2)^2(n-1)(A)^4.\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Finally, the square of the Riemann tensor follows from (10)

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{R}^2_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = & R^2_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 8R_{\mu\nu}\nabla^\mu A^\nu + 8R_{\mu\nu}A^\mu A^\nu - 4RA^2 + nF^2_{\mu\nu} + 4(n-2)(\nabla_\mu A_\nu)^2 + 4(\nabla \cdot A)^2 \\ & + 8(n-2)(A)^2(\nabla \cdot A) - 8(n-2)A_\mu A_\nu \nabla^\mu A^\nu + 2(n-1)(n-2)(A)^4.\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Inserting (15), (16) and (17) into (14) yields an action in the Jordan frame with many non-minimal interaction terms: For example the gauge field is allowed to self interact at the quadric A^4 level, in a gauge invariant way, even though this is an Abelian gauge theory.

III. THE ACTION UP TO QUADRATIC ORDER IN THE FLUCTUATIONS OF THE FIELDS

Now that we have constructed the most general Weyl-invariant quadratic gravity theory, we can proceed to find its perturbative spectrum, namely the particle content of the theory about its maximally symmetric vacuum or vacua. Naively, one should find the field equations first and find the constant curvature vacuum solutions, then linearize the equations about any one of these vacua and identify the propagating degrees of freedom. But, this is a very complicated procedure, even the field equations are hard to find (see [4] for the field equations in the $n = 3$ case). Instead of this cumbersome procedure, we will follow the technique used in [33] and directly expand the action about its *assumed* maximally symmetric constant curvature vacuum up to second order in the fluctuations of the fields. This method not only will give the relation between the parameters of the theory in the vacuum, but it also will simplify the computation of the parts quadratic in the fluctuations of the fields. For $n = 3$, we have given the computation in [5]. Note that one does not need to go to the Einstein frame to determine the particle spectrum of the theory, one can directly work in the Jordan frame. Equivalence of the specific case of the conformally coupled scalar tensor theory with regard to its particle spectrum was shown in [5].

Let us consider a dS or an AdS background (flat vacuum can be obtained in the limit) for which the vacuum values of the fields are

$$\Phi_{vac} = m^{(n-2)/2}, \qquad A^{\mu}_{vac} = 0, \qquad g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{18}$$

where m is of the mass dimension and appears either by the requirement that an (A)dS vacuum exists or as we shall briefly discuss later, in the flat space case, conformal symmetry is broken at the loop level via the Coleman-Weinberg mechanism [25, 26] where the scalar field acquires a non-zero vacuum expectation value. Hence in either case symmetry is broken in the vacuum (18). [There is a caveat here: Strictly speaking, the Coleman-Weinberg potential was computed in three [25] and four dimensions [26], for larger dimensions we expect the symmetry to be broken at the loop level, but no explicit computation is available.] To study the particle spectrum of the model we need to consider fluctuations about the vacuum as

$$\Phi = m^{(n-2)/2} + \tau\Phi_L, \qquad A_\mu = \tau A^L_\mu, \qquad g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + \tau h_{\mu\nu}, \tag{19}$$

where we have introduced τ, a small dimensionless parameter to keep the track of the expansion orders, at the end it can be set to unity. In what follows, we will use the conventions given in [33]. Let us represent the expansion of the action (14) under the fluctuations (19) as follows:

$$S_{WI} = \int d^n x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \Big\{ \mathcal{L}(\tau^0) + \tau \mathcal{L}(\tau^1) + \tau^2 \mathcal{L}(\tau^2) + \cdots \Big\}, \tag{20}$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\tau^0)$ is a constant built from the vacuum values of the fields which is irrelevant for the perturbative spectrum that we shall discuss. On the other hand $\mathcal{L}(\tau^1)$ reads

$$\mathcal{L}(\tau^1) = \Big(\frac{n}{n-2} m^{\frac{n-6}{2}} \Phi_L + \frac{1}{4} m^{n-4} h \Big) \Big(\mathcal{C}\Lambda^2 + 4\sigma\Lambda m^2 - \nu m^4 \Big), \tag{21}$$

where

$$\mathcal{C} \equiv \frac{8(n-4)}{(n-2)^2} \Big(n\alpha + \beta + \frac{2\gamma}{n-1} \Big). \tag{22}$$

Criticality of the action for arbitrary variations of the fields about the vacuum, from (21), yields

$$\mathcal{C}\Lambda^2 + 4\sigma\Lambda m^2 - \nu m^4 = 0, \tag{23}$$

which reduces to the one given in [4, 5] for $n = 3$ and for the NMG condition ($\gamma = 0$ and $8\alpha + 3\beta = 0$). One can read (23) in two different ways: First, one can assume that the symmetry is broken (namely m is given), then Λ is fixed by the symmetry breaking scale. Or, one can assume that Λ is given (meaning that the existence of (A)dS vacuum is imposed) and m is determined. Let us discuss the first case (the second case follows exactly the discussion in [4]). For $n \neq 4$, there are two vacua given as

$$\Lambda_{\pm} = -\frac{2m^2}{\mathcal{C}} \Big[\sigma \mp \sqrt{\sigma^2 + \frac{\mathcal{C}\nu}{4}} \Big], \qquad n \neq 4. \tag{24}$$

As long as $\sigma^2 + \frac{\mathcal{C}\nu}{4} \geq 0$, there is at least one constant curvature vacuum. Four dimensions is unique in the sense that there is a single vacuum

$$\Lambda = \frac{\nu m^2}{4\sigma}. \tag{25}$$

Finally, we need to find the $\mathcal{L}(\tau^2)$ part of the action, which requires a rather long computation. A naive expansion of the quadratic parts of the action would result in a cumbersome expression which will not be explicitly background diffeomorphism invariant. To simplify the computation, one should use background diffeomorphism invariant quantities, such as the linearized Ricci scalar or the Einstein tensor as well as the self-adjointness of the involved operators and the linearized Bianchi identities. We give some essential parts of this computation in the Appendix and write the result here

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\tau^2) = &-\frac{1}{2}m^{n-4}h^{\mu\nu}\Big[\Big(\frac{4n}{n-2}\alpha + \frac{4}{n-1}\beta - \frac{8}{n-1}\gamma\Big)\Lambda\mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu} + (2\alpha+\beta+2\gamma)\Big(\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\Box - \bar{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\nabla}_\nu\Big)R_L \\ &\qquad + \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2}\Big(2\alpha + \frac{\beta}{n-1} - \frac{2(n-3)}{n-1}\gamma\Big)\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}R_L + (\beta+4\gamma)\Box\mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu} + \sigma m^2\mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu}\Big] \\ &+ m^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\Big[\mathcal{C}\frac{\Lambda}{m^2} + 2\sigma\Big]R_L\Phi_L + \frac{n}{2(n-2)}\Big[\frac{n(n-6)\mathcal{C}}{(n-2)}\frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} + 4\sigma\Lambda - \frac{(n+2)}{n-2}m^2\nu\Big]\Phi_L^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\Phi_L)^2 \\ &- m^{n-4}\Big[4(n-1)\alpha + n\beta + 4\gamma\Big]R_L\bar{\nabla}\cdot A_L - m^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\Big[2(n-1)\mathcal{C}\frac{\Lambda}{m^2} + 4\sigma(n-1) + \frac{n-2}{2}\Big]\Phi_L\bar{\nabla}\cdot A_L \\ &+ m^{n-4}\Big[4(n-1)^2\alpha + n\beta + 4\gamma\Big](\bar{\nabla}\cdot A_L)^2 + \frac{1}{2}m^{n-4}\Big[(n^2-2n+2)\beta + 2(3n-4)\gamma + 2\varepsilon\Big](F^L_{\mu\nu})^2 \\ &- 2m^{n-2}\Big[\Big(2n(n-1)\alpha + (3n-4)\beta + 8\gamma\Big)\frac{\Lambda}{m^2} + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}\sigma + \frac{(n-2)^2}{16}\Big]A_L^2,\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where R^L and $\mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu}$ are the linearized Ricci scalar and Einstein tensors defined by [34, 35]:

$$\begin{aligned}R^L =& \bar{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\nabla}_\nu h^{\mu\nu} - \Box h - \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2}h, \\ \mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu} =& (R_{\mu\nu})_L - \frac{1}{2}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}R^L - \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2}h_{\mu\nu}, \\ R^L_{\mu\nu} =& \frac{1}{2}\Big(\bar{\nabla}^\sigma\bar{\nabla}_\mu h_{\sigma\nu} + \bar{\nabla}^\sigma\bar{\nabla}_\nu h_{\sigma\mu} - \Box h_{\mu\nu} - \bar{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\nabla}_\nu h\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

In (26), vacuum equation (23) has been used. But, (26) is still a very complicated coupled system of relativistic "harmonic oscillators" which need to be decoupled before one can discuss what the true propagating degrees of freedom are. It pays to fix the Weyl gauge which we shall do first below.

IV. DECOUPLING OF THE FIELDS

A. Gauge fixing condition

To remove the redundancy we need a proper gauge-fixing condition. Let the gauge-covariant derivative act on the gauge field as:

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu A_\nu \equiv \nabla_\mu A_\nu + (n-2)A_\mu A_\nu. \tag{28}$$

Note that, compared to (5), we used ∇_μ in the first term instead of the partial derivative. Under the transformations (2, 4), it is easy to show that the divergence transforms as

$$(\mathcal{D}_\mu A^\mu)' = e^{-2\zeta(x)}\Big(\mathcal{D}_\mu A^\mu - \mathcal{D}_\mu \partial^\mu \zeta(x)\Big). \tag{29}$$

Therefore, we can choose a Lorenz-like condition

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu A^\mu = \nabla \cdot A + (n-2)A^2 = 0. \tag{30}$$

It is important to note that $\mathcal{D}_\mu \partial^\mu \zeta = 0$ is also Weyl-invariant. [This is a Weyl-invariant generalization of the leftover gauge-invariance, $\partial^2\zeta = 0$, after the usual Lorenz gauge $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$ is chosen.] At the linear level, (30) reduces to the background covariant Lorenz gauge fixing condition : $\bar{\nabla}\cdot A_L = 0$. With this choice, three terms in the action (26) drop out.

B. Redefinition of the tensor field

In order to decouple the tensor and scalar fields, let us choose

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \widetilde{h}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{4}{n-2} m^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \Phi_L, \tag{31}$$

which yields the following relation between the linearized curvature expressions which we all need in the computation

$$\begin{aligned}
R^L_{\mu\nu} =& \widetilde{R}^L_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{n-2} m^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \Big((n-2)\bar{\nabla}_\mu \partial_\nu \Phi_L + \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \Box \Phi_L\Big), \\
R_L =& \widetilde{R}_L + \frac{4}{n-2} m^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \Big((n-1)\Box\Phi_L + \frac{2n}{n-2}\Lambda\Phi_L\Big), \\
\mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu} =& \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^L_{\mu\nu} + 2m^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \Big(\bar{\nabla}_\mu \partial_\nu \Phi_L - \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\Box\Phi_L - 2(n-2)\Lambda \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\Phi_L\Big), \\
h^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu} =& \widetilde{h}^{\mu\nu}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^L_{\mu\nu} + 4m^{2-n}\Big(m^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \widetilde{R}_L \Phi_L + 2\frac{n-1}{n-2}\Phi_L\Box\Phi_L + \frac{4n}{(n-2)^2}\Lambda\Phi_L^2\Big), \\
(\mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu})^2 =& (\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^L_{\mu\nu})^2 + 4m^{2-n}\Big((n-1)(\Box\Phi_L)^2 + \frac{4n}{(n-2)^2}\Lambda^2\Phi_L^2 + \frac{2(2n-1)}{n-2}\Lambda\Phi_L\Box\Phi_L\Big) \\
& + 2m^{\frac{2-n}{2}}\Big((n-2)\widetilde{R}_L\Box\Phi_L + 2\Lambda\widetilde{R}_L\Phi_L\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

After making use of (31) and the gauge-fixing condition and the vacuum equation (23) (which removes the Φ_L^2 term), the vector field is decoupled from the rest and the quadratic part of (14) boils down to a more transparent form

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{S}_{WI} = \int d^n x\sqrt{-g}\Big\{&m^{n-4}\Big[-\Big(\frac{2n\Lambda}{n-2}\alpha+\frac{2\Lambda}{n-2}\beta-\frac{4(n-4)\Lambda}{(n-1)(n-2)}\gamma+\frac{m^2}{2}\sigma\Big)\widetilde{h}^{\mu\nu}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^L_{\mu\nu}\\
&+\Big(\alpha-\frac{n-4}{4}\beta-(n-3)\gamma\Big)\widetilde{R}_L^2+(\beta+4\gamma)(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^L_{\mu\nu})^2\Big]\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\Big[\frac{16}{(n-2)^2}\Big(2n(n-1)\alpha+(3n-4)\beta+8\gamma\Big)\frac{\Lambda}{m^2}+8\frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)}\sigma+1\Big](\partial_\mu\Phi_L)^2\\
&+\frac{16}{m^2}\frac{(n-1)^2}{(n-2)^2}\Big(\alpha+\frac{n}{4(n-1)}\beta+\frac{1}{n-1}\gamma\Big)(\Box\Phi_L)^2\\
&+8m^{\frac{n-6}{2}}\frac{n-1}{n-2}\Big(\alpha+\frac{n}{4(n-1)}\beta+\frac{1}{n-1}\gamma\Big)\widetilde{R}_L\Box\Phi_L\\
&+\frac{1}{2}m^{n-4}\Big[(n^2-2n+2)\beta+2(3n-4)\gamma+2\varepsilon\Big](F^L_{\mu\nu})^2\\
&-2m^{n-2}\Big[\Big(2n(n-1)\alpha+(3n-4)\beta+8\gamma\Big)\frac{\Lambda}{m^2}+\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}\sigma+\frac{(n-2)^2}{16}\Big]A_L^2\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

We still have the $\widetilde{R}_L\Box\Phi_L$ coupling between the tensor and the scalar fields. But this is not a problem since it drops out once unitarity is imposed to remove the higher-derivative Pais-Uhlenbeck term $(\Box\Phi_L)^2$. It is remarkable that both of these unwanted terms come with the same coefficient, which must be set to zero:

$$\alpha+\frac{n}{4(n-1)}\beta+\frac{1}{n-1}\gamma=0. \tag{34}$$

It is worth mentioning that this condition is a necessary but not a sufficient condition for the unitarity of the theory. In three dimensions this condition gives the NMG theory after noting that the Riemann tensor can be written as $R^2_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}=4R^2_{\mu\nu}-R^2$, which is the Gauss-Bonnet identity, therefore it is easy to see that (34) reduces to $8\tilde{\alpha}+3\tilde{\beta}=0$ once the square of the Riemann tensor is eliminated with the help of the Gauss-Bonnet identity. Now that all the fields are decoupled, we can find the masses and discuss the unitarity regions in the theory.

V. PARTICLE SPECTRUM AND THEIR MASSES

In order to read the masses of the fields, let us write (33) as:

$$\widetilde{S}_{WI}=\int d^n x\sqrt{-g}\Big\{\mathcal{L}_{h_{\mu\nu}}+\mathcal{L}_{A_\mu}+\mathcal{L}_\Phi\Big\}, \tag{35}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{h_{\mu\nu}} =&m^{n-4}\Big[-\Big(\frac{2n\Lambda}{n-2}\alpha+\frac{2\Lambda}{n-2}\beta-\frac{4(n-4)\Lambda}{(n-1)(n-2)}\gamma+\frac{m^2}{2}\sigma\Big)\widetilde{h}^{\mu\nu}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^L_{\mu\nu}\\
&+\Big(\alpha-\frac{n-4}{4}\beta-(n-3)\gamma\Big)\widetilde{R}_L^2+(\beta+4\gamma)(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^L_{\mu\nu})^2\Big],\\
\mathcal{L}_{A_\mu} =&\frac{1}{2}m^{n-4}\Big[(n^2-2n+2)\beta+2(3n-4)\gamma+2\varepsilon\Big](F^L_{\mu\nu})^2\\
&-2m^{n-2}\Big[\Big(2n(n-1)\alpha+(3n-4)\beta+8\gamma\Big)\frac{\Lambda}{m^2}+\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}\sigma+\frac{(n-2)^2}{16}\Big]A_L^2,\\
\mathcal{L}_\Phi =&-\frac{1}{2}\Big[\frac{16}{(n-2)^2}\Big(2n(n-1)\alpha+(3n-4)\beta+8\gamma\Big)\frac{\Lambda}{m^2}+8\frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)}\sigma+1\Big](\partial_\mu\Phi_L)^2.\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

The gauge field is of the massive Proca type and the scalar field just has the kinetic term. On the other hand, the tensor part is still complicated. Let us first look at the scalar and gauge field parts.

One can choose ε in such a way that the kinetic term of the gauge field becomes zero which renders the gauge field non-dynamical, but instead we choose

$$\varepsilon = -\frac{1}{2}\Big((n^2-2n+2)\beta + 2(3n-4)\gamma + 1/2\Big), \tag{37}$$

to normalize the kinetic part to its canonical value $-\frac{1}{4}$ after scaling the field by a factor of $m^{\frac{(n-4)}{2}}$. We thus have

$$\mathcal{L}_{A_\mu} = -\frac{1}{4}(F^L_{\mu\nu})^2 - \frac{1}{2}M_A^2 A_L^2, \tag{38}$$

where the mass-square reads

$$M_A^2 = 4(n-4)\Big[2(n-1)\alpha + \beta\Big]\Lambda + \Big[2(n-1)(n-2)\sigma + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}\Big]m^2. \tag{39}$$

In getting this equation, we have imposed the constraint (34) which was necessary for unitarity. Note that, for the gauge field alone $M_A^2 \geq 0$ is sufficient for unitarity and in this case the theory has a massive (or massless if the bound is saturated) spin-1 excitation. But, below we will see that there will be another relation between α, β and γ coming from the unitarity of the spin-2 part. In three dimensions, (39) reduces to the one found in [5].

On the other hand the scalar field part becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_\Phi = -\frac{4M_A^2}{(n-2)^2 m^2}\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi_L)^2, \tag{40}$$

which does not impose any new condition: The condition that makes the gauge field non-tachyonic makes the scalar field non-ghost. Also, when the gauge field has zero mass, the scalar field becomes non-dynamical. For other values of M_A^2, the scalar field can be re-scaled to have $\mathcal{L}_\Phi = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi_L)^2$.

Finally, let us investigate the spin-2 part. The Lagrangian density, following the procedure of [7, 12], can be written in terms of two auxiliary fields φ and $f_{\mu\nu}$, as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{h_{\mu\nu}} =& a h^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu}(h) + bR_L^2 + c(\mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu}(h))^2 \\ \equiv& a h^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu}(h) + f^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu}(h) + \varphi R_L - \frac{m_1^2}{2}\varphi^2 - \frac{m_2^2}{4}(f_{\mu\nu}^2 - f^2),\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

where $f \equiv \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} f_{\mu\nu}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} a \equiv& -m^{n-4}\Big(\frac{2n\Lambda}{n-2}\alpha + \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2}\beta - \frac{4(n-4)\Lambda}{(n-1)(n-2)}\gamma + \frac{m^2}{2}\sigma\Big), \\ b \equiv& m^{n-4}\Big(\alpha - \frac{n-4}{4}\beta - (n-3)\gamma\Big), \\ c \equiv& m^{n-4}(\beta + 4\gamma).\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

We have to first determine m_i^2 in terms of the parameters of the theory. This can be done by using the field equations for the auxiliary fields

$$f_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{m_2^2}\mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu}(h) + \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\frac{n-2}{(n-1)m_2^2}R_L, \qquad \varphi = \frac{1}{m_1^2}R_L, \tag{43}$$

in (41) to obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{h_{\mu\nu}} = ah^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu}(h) + \frac{1}{m_2^2}(\mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu}(h))^2 + \Big(\frac{1}{2m_1^2} - \frac{(n-2)^2}{4(n-1)m_2^2}\Big)R_L^2, \tag{44}$$

which yields

$$c = \frac{1}{m_2^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{1}{2m_1^2} - \frac{(n-2)^2}{4(n-1)m_2^2}. \tag{45}$$

Then (41) reads as

$$\mathcal{L}_{h_{\mu\nu}} = (ah^{\mu\nu} + f^{\mu\nu})\mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu}(h) + \varphi R_L - \frac{\varphi^2}{4b + c(n-2)^2/(n-1)} - \frac{1}{4c}(f^2_{\mu\nu} - f^2). \tag{46}$$

The unitarity condition (34) in this parametrization becomes

$$4b + c\frac{(n-2)^2}{n-1} = 0, \tag{47}$$

which decouples φ and drops the term φR_L in (46). Therefore, the gravity part becomes:

$$\mathcal{L}_{h_{\mu\nu}} = (ah^{\mu\nu} + f^{\mu\nu})\mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu}(h) - \frac{1}{4c}(f^2_{\mu\nu} - f^2). \tag{48}$$

In order to obtain the spectrum and read the masses, one should decouple the $h_{\mu\nu}$ and $f_{\mu\nu}$ fields which can be done with the following redefinition (assuming $a \neq 0$, see below for the $a = 0$ case)

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \mathtt{h}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2a}f_{\mu\nu}. \tag{49}$$

Inserting this in (48) results in:

$$\mathcal{L}_{h_{\mu\nu}} = a\mathtt{h}^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu}(\mathtt{h}) - \frac{1}{4a}f^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}(f) - \frac{1}{4c}(f^2_{\mu\nu} - f^2). \tag{50}$$

The first term is just like the linearized part of the pure (cosmological) Einstein-Hilbert theory with an effective Newton's constant, therefore it propagates a massless spin-2 field as long as $a < 0$. The second part is the Lagrangian density of a massive Fierz-Pauli spin-2 field. Because of the coefficient of the kinetic part, it is clear that massless and massive spin-2 fields cannot be unitary at the same time. The only solution is to freeze the massive spin-2 field by giving it an infinite mass: $c = 0$, which, together with our earlier unitarity condition (34), yield

$$4\gamma + \beta = 0, \qquad \alpha = \gamma. \tag{51}$$

This is exactly the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory which has a massless unitary excitation in (A)dS as long as [32]

$$\sigma > -\frac{4(n-3)(n-4)\gamma\Lambda}{(n-1)(n-2)m^2}. \tag{52}$$

Therefore in $n \geq 4$ dimensions, out of all the Weyl-invariant quadratic gravity theories, unitarity condition singled out the Weyl-invariant extension of the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory. The mass of the gauge field in this theory reduces to

$$M_A^2 = 8(n-3)(n-4)\gamma\Lambda + \Big(\frac{(n-2)^2}{4} + 2(n-1)(n-2)\sigma\Big)m^2. \tag{53}$$

Note that $M_A^2 \geq 0$, a condition for the unitarity of the scalar and gauge field parts, gives

$$\sigma \geq -\frac{4(n-3)(n-4)\gamma\Lambda}{(n-1)(n-2)m^2} - \frac{n-2}{8(n-1)}, \tag{54}$$

which is a weaker condition than (52). Finally one can check that the stronger unitarity condition (52), which now becomes $\sigma > -\frac{\mathcal{C}\Lambda}{2}$, is compatible with the existence of a maximally symmetric vacuum (23) for both dS and AdS spaces.

Consider now the critical case, $a = 0$ in (48) for which one has

$$\mathcal{L}_{h_{\mu\nu}} = h^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu}(f) - \frac{1}{4c}(f^2_{\mu\nu} - f^2), \tag{55}$$

where we have used the self-adjointness of the involved operators in the first term. Now variation with respect to $h_{\mu\nu}$ yields

$$\mathcal{G}^L_{\mu\nu}(f) = 0, \tag{56}$$

which can be solved as [7]

$$f_{\mu\nu} = \bar{\nabla}_\mu B_\nu + \bar{\nabla}_\nu B_\mu. \tag{57}$$

Inserting this into (55) gives up to boundary terms

$$\mathcal{L}_{h_{\mu\nu}} = -\frac{1}{4c}F^2_{\mu\nu} - \frac{2\Lambda}{c(n-2)}B^2_\mu, \tag{58}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the field strength of the B-field. For $c > 0$, (58) describes a massive spin-1 excitation with $M^2 = \frac{4\Lambda}{n-2}$ in analogy with the critical point of NMG [7]. One can check that the unitarity conditions found before are compatible with the criticality condition and the full Weyl-invariant quadratic theory propagates a unitary massive Weyl gauge field and a massless scalar field in addition to the just discussed massive spin-1 field in dS.

A. Flat background for $n = 4$

In the flat backgrounds in four dimensions, as we noted before, the symmetry is broken via the Coleman-Weinberg mechanism [26] for which the one-loop effective potential becomes $V(\Phi) = c_1\Phi^4(\log(\Phi/m) + c_2)$, where the actual values of the constants are not relevant here: All that matters is that the scalar field gets a vacuum expectation value and the symmetry gets broken. Then (34) together with $\beta + 4\gamma = 0$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{h_{\mu\nu}} &= -\frac{m^2}{2}\sigma\widetilde{h}^{\mu\nu}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^L_{\mu\nu}, \\
\mathcal{L}_{A_\mu} &= -\frac{1}{4}(F^L_{\mu\nu})^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Big(1 + 12\sigma\Big)m^2 A_L^2, \\
\mathcal{L}_\Phi &= -\frac{1}{2}\Big(1 + 12\sigma\Big)(\partial_\mu\Phi_L)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

So in the Weyl-invariant extension of the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory in four dimensions there is a unitary massless spin-2 field, a massive spin-1 and a massless spin-0 field for $\sigma > 0$. Newton's constant and the mass of the gauge field are fixed by the vacuum expectation value of the scalar field. [Note that any other $n > 4$ theory has the same spectrum.]

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have found the perturbative particle spectrum and discussed the unitarity of the n-dimensional Weyl-invariant quadratic gravity, constructed in [4], about their (A)dS and flat backgrounds. Three dimensional case, that is the Weyl-invariant extension of the new massive gravity, studied at length in [5], has the remarkable property that through the breaking of the symmetry, the graviton gets a unitary Fierz-Pauli type mass. The hope was to extend this mass-generation mechanism to four and more dimensions, but, as we have shown in this paper, the only unitary theory, beyond three dimensions, among the Weyl-invariant quadratic theories is the Weyl-invariant Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet model which propagates a massless spin-2 particle as well as massive spin-1 and massless spin-0 particles. [For an interesting Higgs-type mechanism in the first order formalism of gravity see [36].] Now that we have shown the unitarity of the theory, it would be interesting to study the Weyl-invariant Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory in four dimensions with respect to its black hole and cosmological solutions.

VII. APPENDIX

We would like to expound upon our computation of the second order action in the fluctuations of the fields here. The expressions below are valid up to $\mathcal{O}(\tau^2)$. The scalar field action reads

$$\begin{aligned}S_\Phi = -\frac{1}{2}\int d^nx\sqrt{-\bar{g}}\Big\{&\nu m^n + \tau\Big[\frac{m^n}{2}h + 2m^{\frac{n+2}{2}}\frac{n}{n-2}\Phi_L\Big]\nu \\ &+ \tau^2\Big[(\partial_\mu\Phi_L)^2 + (n-2)m^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\bar{\nabla}\cdot A_L\Phi_L + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}m^{n-2}A_L^2 \\ &+ \frac{n(n+2)}{(n-2)^2}m^2\nu\Phi_L^2 + \frac{n}{n-2}m^{\frac{n+2}{2}}\nu h\Phi_L + \frac{m^n}{8}\nu h^2 - \frac{m^n}{4}\nu h_{\mu\nu}^2\Big]\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

The coupling term between the scalar field and the Ricci scalar yields up to quadratic order

$$\begin{aligned}&\int d^nx\sqrt{-g}\Phi^2R = \\ &\int d^nx\sqrt{-\bar{g}}m^{n-2}\Big\{\frac{2n}{n-2}\Lambda + \tau\Big[\frac{n}{n-2}\Lambda h + R_L + \frac{4n}{n-2}\Lambda m^{\frac{2-n}{2}}\Big] \\ &\qquad - \frac{1}{2}\tau^2\Big[h^{\mu\nu}(R_{\mu\nu})_L + \frac{1}{2}hR_L + \frac{n-4}{n-2}\Lambda h_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda h^2 - hR_L - \frac{4n}{n-2}\Lambda m^{2-n}\Phi_L\Big]\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

To expand the quadratic curvature parts of the action, the following steps proved useful: First let us write

$$\begin{aligned}&\int d^nx\sqrt{-g}\Phi^{\frac{2(n-4)}{n-2}}\Big(\alpha R^2 + \beta R_{\mu\nu}^2 + \gamma R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^2\Big) = \\ &\int d^nx\sqrt{-\bar{g}}\Big\{\Big(m^{\frac{n-2}{2}} + \tau\Phi_L\Big)^{\frac{2(n-4)}{n-2}}\Big(\bar{X} + \tau X^{(1)} + \tau^2X^{(2)}\Big)\Big\},\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

where one finds

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X} &\equiv \frac{n\mathcal{C}}{2(n-4)}\Lambda^2, \\ X^{(1)} &\equiv \frac{n\mathcal{C}}{4(n-4)}\Lambda^2h + \frac{(n-2)\mathcal{C}}{2(n-4)}\Lambda R_L.\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Now in order to find $X^{(2)}$, we rewrite it as:

$$\begin{aligned} X^{(2)} &= \Big[\sqrt{-g}\Big(\alpha R^2 + \beta R_{\mu\nu}^2 + \gamma R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^2\Big)\Big]^{(2)} \\ &= \Big[\sqrt{-g}\Big((\alpha-\gamma)R^2 + (\beta+4\gamma)R_{\mu\nu}^2 + \gamma\chi_E\Big)\Big]^{(2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

where $\chi_E \equiv R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^2 - 4R_{\mu\nu}^2 + R^2$ is the Gauss-Bonnet combination. From [34, 35], one can write the $X^{(2)}$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} X^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2}h^{\mu\nu}\Big[&\Big(\frac{4n\Lambda}{n-2}\alpha + \frac{4\Lambda}{n-1}\beta - \frac{8\Lambda}{n-1}\gamma\Big)\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}^L \\ &+ (2\alpha+\beta+2\gamma)\Big(\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\Box - \bar{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\nabla}_\nu\Big)R_L + \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2}\Big(2\alpha + \frac{1}{n-2}\beta - \frac{2(n-3)}{n-1}\gamma\Big)\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}R_L \\ &+ (\beta+4\gamma)\Box\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}^L + \frac{\mathcal{C}}{4}\Lambda^2 h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\mathcal{C}}{8}\Lambda^2\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}h\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

VIII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Tahsin C. Sisman for useful discussions. The work of B.T. is supported by the TUBITAK Grant No. 110T339. S.D. is supported by TUBITAK Grant No. 109T748.

[1] G. de Berredo-Peixoto and I. L. Shapiro, "Conformal quantum gravity with the Gauss-Bonnet term," Phys. Rev. D **70**, 044024 (2004).

[2] R. Percacci, "Renormalization group flow of Weyl invariant dilaton gravity," New J. Phys. **13**, 125013 (2011).

[3] G. 't Hooft, "A class of elementary particle models without any adjustable real parameters," Found. Phys. **41**, 1829 (2011).

[4] S. Dengiz and B. Tekin, "Higgs Mechanism for New Massive Gravity and Weyl Invariant Extensions of Higher Derivative Theories," Phys. Rev. D **84**, 024033 (2011).

[5] M. R. Tanhayi, S. Dengiz and B. Tekin, "Unitarity of Weyl-Invariant New Massive Gravity and Generation of Graviton Mass via Symmetry Breaking," arXiv:1112.2338, To appear in Phys. Rev. D.

[6] E. A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm and P. K. Townsend, "Massive Gravity in Three Dimensions," Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 201301 (2009).

[7] E. A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm and P. K. Townsend, "More on Massive 3D Gravity," Phys. Rev. D **79**, 124042 (2009).

[8] I. Gullu and B. Tekin, "Massive Higher Derivative Gravity in D-dimensional Anti-de Sitter Spacetimes," Phys. Rev. D **80**, 064033 (2009).

[9] S. Deser, "Ghost-free, finite, fourth order D=3 (alas) gravity," Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 101302 (2009).

[10] M. Nakasone and I. Oda, "On Unitarity of Massive Gravity in Three Dimensions," Prog. Theor. Phys. **121**, 1389 (2009).

[11] Y. Liu and Y. W. Sun, "On the Generalized Massive Gravity in AdS_3," Phys. Rev. D **79**, 126001 (2009).

[12] I. Gullu, T. C. Sisman and B. Tekin, "Canonical Structure of Higher Derivative Gravity in 3D," Phys. Rev. D **81**, 104017 (2010).

[13] I. Gullu, T. C. Sisman and B. Tekin, "All Bulk and Boundary Unitary Cubic Curvature Theories in Three Dimensions," Phys. Rev. D **83**, 024033 (2011).

[14] G. Clement, "Warped AdS(3) black holes in new massive gravity," Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 105015 (2009).

[15] E. Ayon-Beato, G. Giribet and M. Hassaine, "Bending AdS Waves with New Massive Gravity," JHEP **0905**, 029 (2009).

[16] G. Clement, “Black holes with a null Killing vector in new massive gravity in three dimensions,” Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 165002 (2009).

[17] M. Gurses, “Killing Vector Fields in Three Dimensions: A Method to Solve Massive Gravity Field Equations,” Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 205018 (2010).

[18] I. Bakas, C. Sourdis, “Homogeneous vacua of (generalized) new massive gravity,” Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 015012 (2011).

[19] H. Ahmedov and A. N. Aliev, “Exact Solutions in D-3 New Massive Gravity,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 021301 (2011).

[20] D. Grumiller and O. Hohm, “$AdS_3/LCFT_2$ - Correlators in New Massive Gravity,” Phys. Lett. B **686**, 264 (2010).

[21] A. Sinha, “On the new massive gravity and AdS/CFT,” JHEP **1006**, 061 (2010).

[22] I. Gullu, T. C. Sisman and B. Tekin, “Born-Infeld extension of new massive gravity,” Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 162001 (2010).

[23] N. Ohta, “A Complete Classification of Higher Derivative Gravity in 3D and Criticality in 4D,” Class. Quant. Grav. **29**, 015002 (2012).

[24] M. Alishahiha and A. Naseh, “Holographic renormalization of new massive gravity,” Phys. Rev. D **82**, 104043 (2010).

[25] P. N. Tan, B. Tekin and Y. Hosotani, “Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking at Two Loop in 3-d Massless Scalar Electrodynamics,” Phys. Lett. B **388**, 611 (1996); “Maxwell-Chern-Simons Scalar Electrodynamics at Two Loop,” Nucl. Phys. B **502**, 483 (1997).

[26] S. R. Coleman and E. J. Weinberg, “Radiative Corrections as the Origin of Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking,” Phys. Rev. D **7**, 1888 (1973).

[27] T. Maki, Y. Norimoto and K. Shiraishi, “On the cosmology of Weyl’s gauge invariant gravity,” Acta Phys. Polon. B **41** 1195 (2010).

[28] T. Maki, N. Kan, K. Kobayashi and K. Shiraishi, “Flux vacua in DBI type Einstein-Maxwell theory,” arXiv:1109.4687 [gr-qc].

[29] J. Oliva and S. Ray, “Conformal couplings of a scalar field to higher curvature terms,” arXiv:1112.4112 [gr-qc].

[30] L. O’Raifeartaigh, I. Sachs and C. Wiesendanger, Meeting on 70 Years of Quantum Mechanics, Calcutta, India, 1996, edited by P. Bandyopadhyay.

[31] A. Iorio, L. O’Raifeartaigh, I. Sachs and C. Wiesendanger, “Weyl gauging and conformal invariance,” Nucl. Phys. B **495**, 433 (1997).

[32] T. C. Sisman, I. Gullu and B. Tekin, “All unitary cubic curvature gravities in D dimensions,” Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 195004 (2011).

[33] I. Gullu, T. C. Sisman and B. Tekin, “Unitarity analysis of general Born-Infeld gravity theories,” Phys. Rev. D **82**, 124023 (2010).

[34] S. Deser, B. Tekin, “Energy in Generic Higher Curvature Gravity Theories,” Phys. Rev. D 67, 084009 (2003).

[35] S. Deser and B. Tekin, “Gravitational energy in quadratic curvature gravities,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 101101 (2002).

[36] R. Percacci, “The Higgs phenomenon in quantum gravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **353**, 271 (1991).